

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/685,803	10/16/2003	Uri L. Zilberman	25771-X	5141	
20529	7590 02/23/2006		EXAMINER		
NATH & ASSOCIATES			BUMGARNER, MELBA N		
112 South V Alexandria,	Vest Street VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ			3732		
			DATE MAILED: 02/23/200	DATE MAILED: 02/23/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/685,803	ZILBERMAN, URI L.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Melba Bumgarner	3732		
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	f this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing if NO period for reply is specified abore Failure to reply within the set or extensions.	FROM THE MAILING DA under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ing date of this communication. ive, the maximum statutory period we inded period for reply will, by statute, than three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
•	2b)⊠ This is in condition for allowar	ecember 2005. action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro fx parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pe 4a) Of the above claim 5) □ Claim(s) is/are 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rej 7) □ Claim(s) is/are 8) □ Claim(s) are su	n(s) is/are withdrawallowed. ected. objected to.				
Application Papers					
Applicant may not reque Replacement drawing si	is/are: a) accest that any objection to the theet(s) including the correct	r. epted or b) objected to by the ledge of	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTC 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent I 3) Information Disclosure Statemen Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔀 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F 6) 🔲 Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/685,803 Page 2

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 and 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Simor (3,585,723). Simor discloses a dental crown 10 formed of a thermoplastic polymer material (column 3 line 71) comprising a tooth shaped top surface 11 and depending flexible side surfaces continuous structure of depending flexible side surfaces 16 extending continuously around edges of the top surface and extending continuously from a tooth shaped top surface end of the dental crown to an end opposite the end of the dental crown, at least a part the depending side surfaces having an inwardly directed bottom portion (figures 2,13,16). Patentable weight is not given to the process and intermediate products used in the process by which the dental crown is made, because a product claim is properly met if the final product is shown regardless of the process used.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/685,803 Page 3

Art Unit: 3732

4. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Simor in view of Karmaker et al. (6,186,790). Simor discloses a dental crown that shows the limitations as described above; however, does not show the specific polymer(s) of the crown as claimed. Karmaker et al. teach a dental crown formed of a variety of thermoplastic polymer material including polycarbonate, polysulfone, polyacetal, polyacrylate and polymethacrylate. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a polymer of Karmaker et al. in the crown of Simor, because Karmaker et al. teach the material was known as art-recognized equivalent material at the time the invention was made in forming dental components including crowns. Furthermore, a specific polymer material is not disclosed as critical to the claimed invention. Karmaker et al. show the polymer material comprising fibers. Karmaker et al. also show dental crown formed of a variety of processes including injection molding, compression molding and machining.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejected claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melba Bumgarner whose telephone number is 571-272-4709. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached at 571-272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/685,803 Page 4

Art Unit: 3732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Melba Bumgarner

mesoa Bumgarner

Primary Examiner